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#### Abstract

High-temperature series for the free energy of the $q$-component Potts model in the presence of arbitrary external fields are generated to eighth order for five lattices and subsequently analysed. In two dimensions the higher critical exponents $\gamma, \gamma_{3}$ are estimated to be $1.42,3.60$ and $1 \cdot 20,2.62$ for $q=3$ and 4 respectively. Evidence for the possible divergence of the order parameter fluctuation at the first-order transition point for $q \geqslant 5$ is presented. In three dimensions the question of the order of the transition is directly investigated in the temperature-order parameter plane and analysis of the series indicates that the transition is of first order for $q \geqslant 3$.


## 1. Introduction

Recently there has been considerable interest in the statistics of the Potts model (Potts 1952). For this model a spin at the $i$ th lattice site $\sigma_{i}$ can take on the $q$ values $1,2, \ldots, q$. The interaction energy between nearest-neighbour pairs is $-J$ if they are in the same state and zero otherwise.

Apart from its purely theoretical interest in statistical mechanical problems, the Potts model is now emerging as a serious candidate to describe various physical situations. Recently Alexander and Yuval (1974) discussed the relevance of this model to a situation where the order parameter is a second-rank tensor, such as in the isotropic-to-nematic liquid crystal phase transition or plastic transitions in molecular crystals. Priest (1971) also considered this Potts interaction as a quantized version of the rotationally invariant potential in the lattice model of nematic liquid crystals.

In two dimensions Potts (1952) used the transfer matrix approach to find the inversion transformation for a square lattice and thus was able to locate the transition temperatures for all $q$. Kihara et al (1954) proposed the same model independently in connection with the solid-liquid transition and found the Onsager-type dual transformation by a topological approach. Mittag and Stephen (1971) also studied the dual transformation on the square lattice. More recently Kim and Joseph (1974a) located the exact transition temperatures on the triangular and honeycomb lattices for all $q$ using a generalized startriangle transformation by introducing a three-spin interaction.

An important result was recently obtained by Baxter (1973) who has shown that on a square lattice this model has a non-vanishing latent heat only for $q>4$. This result strongly implies that other first derivatives of the free energy are also continuous at the transition for $q \leqslant 4$. A continuous transition for $q \leqslant 4$, in any sense, is contrary to the
prediction of the mean field approximation (MFA). The MFA and equivalent phenomenological arguments predict that the transition is of first order (discontinuous) for $q>2$ in all dimensions. In fact the validity of the MFA for $q=3$ in two dimensions had previously been questioned by a number of workers on the basis of series expansion work on the square lattice (Straley and Fisher 1973, Kihara et al 1954). On the other hand the $\epsilon$-expansion work of Amit and Shcherbakov (1974) in the four-dimensional limit supported the idea of a first-order transition for $q=3$.

Consequently a question of considerable interest is whether in three dimensions the Potts model exhibits a first-order phase transition (in zero fields), as the mFA predicts, or a continuous transition for lower $q$ 's as in two dimensions. There are a number of previous studies relevant to this question for $q=3$. One is that of Golner (1973) who studied a continuum generalization of the $q=3$ Potts model by means of Wilson's $\eta=0$ approximate renormalization group recursion formula. Another is that of Ditzian and Oitmaa (1974) in which the spin 1 Ising Hamiltonian with biquadratic interactions was studied by means of a six-term high-temperature susceptibility series for the face-centred cubic lattice for a range of biquadratic interactions which included the Potts model. Lastly, low- and high-temperature series of various thermodynamic quantities were constructed and analysed by Straley (1974) for the simple cubic lattice and by Enting (1974) for the face-centred cubic lattice. The authors of the first two works preferred to interpret their results as evidence for a first-order transition while the last two authors interpreted their own results as evidence for a second-order transition. None of these works, however, is by any means conclusive in its results.

In our work we have approached this problem from a different point of view. Unlike conventional approaches, derivatives of the free energy as a function of an order parameter $m$ (not a field) and the temperature are investigated directly in the $m-T$ plane. The criterion used to distinguish between a continuous and a discontinuous transition is that in the latter case the susceptibility should diverge for a finite value of $m$ at a temperature higher than the transition temperature. Detailed arguments concerning this point are given in §4. Analysis of our high-temperature series ( $\S 2$ ) in this context gives good evidence for a discontinuous transition in $m$ for $q \geqslant 3$ for all three-dimensional lattices considered. The high-temperature series obtained are also utilised to estimate the critical point exponents associated with higher-order critical points in two dimensions, where the transition is known to be continuous. We also present evidence of possible diverging fluctuations at the first-order transition (§3). In § 5 scaling relations and the relevance of the Potts model to liquid crystals are discussed.

## 2. High-temperature series expansion of the free energy in a field

The Hamiltonian of the system may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\beta \mathscr{H}=K \sum_{\langle i j\rangle} \delta_{\sigma_{i} \sigma_{,}}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \zeta\left(\sigma_{i}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=\beta J$ and $\zeta\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=\zeta_{k}$ when $\sigma_{i}=k$. Here the $\zeta_{k}$ are symmetry breaking fields. If we let $n_{k}$ be the fraction of spins in the $k$ th state, it is obvious that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \zeta\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=N \sum_{k=1}^{q} \zeta_{k} n_{k} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\zeta_{k}$ becomes the field conjugate to the density $\left\langle n_{k}\right\rangle,\langle \rangle$ denoting a thermal average. Because of the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{q} n_{k}=1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

one of the $\zeta_{k}$ is irrelevant.
For specific zero field quantities, such as the susceptibility or free energy at $\zeta_{k}=0$ for all $k$, a formalism for series generation has been previously developed by several authors (Mittag and Stephen 1971, Kihara et al 1954, Alexander and Yuval 1974). For the purpose of this study, however, it is necessary to include the arbitrary fields exactly.

Using the relation $\exp \left(K \delta_{\sigma \sigma^{\prime}}\right)=1+[\exp (K)-1] \delta_{\sigma \sigma^{\prime}}$, the partition function can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{N}=\operatorname{Tr} \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \zeta\left(\sigma_{i}\right)\right) \prod_{\langle i j\rangle}\left(1+u \delta_{\sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u \equiv \exp (K)-1$. Thus we can generate power series for the free energy $f$ in the variable $u$.

At this stage it is convenient to define a set of functions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{n} \equiv g_{n} / g_{1}^{n}  \tag{5}\\
& g_{n} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{q} \exp \left(n \zeta_{k}\right) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Then all of the field and $q$ dependences in the final results will be explicitly contained in the $G_{n}$ functions. In the expansion of equation (4) the first term is $g_{1}^{N}$ and each $l$ line graph which can be drawn on a lattice contributes to the $l$ th power in $u$ with a factor determined by the topology of the graph. If we factor out $g_{1}^{N}$, an $m$-vertices connected graph gives a factor $G_{m}$ so that each coefficient of $u^{l}$ will be a linear combination of terms of the form $G_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} G_{3}^{\alpha_{3}} \ldots$. Thus we see that the free energy takes the form, after going to the thermodynamic limit,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\beta f=\ln g_{1}+\frac{z}{2} G_{2} u+\left(\frac{z}{2}(z-1) G_{3}-\frac{z}{2}\left(z-\frac{1}{2}\right) G_{2}^{2}\right) u^{2}+\ldots \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z$ is the lattice coordination number.
In our calculations we used the finite cluster method (Domb 1960), exploiting the data compilation of Baker et al (1967). The entire calculation was computerized and careful internal checking was performed. Overall checking was done by reducing the results to those known for the Ising model. In this way we obtained the free energy series through $u^{8}$ for the plane square ( SQ ), triangular (TR), simple cubic ( SC ), bodycentred cubic ( BCC ) and face-centred cubic ( FCC ) lattices. The numbers of different terms for the $l$ th power in $u$ for FCC for example were $1,2,4,7,12,21,32,50$ for $l=1, \ldots, 8$ respectively.

For our purpose it is sufficient to consider the situation where $\zeta_{2}=\zeta_{3}=\ldots=0$. Then the $G_{n}$ functions can be represented as power series of order $n$ in a field variable $\tau$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau \equiv \frac{\exp \left(\zeta_{1}\right)-1}{\exp \left(\zeta_{1}\right)+r} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r \equiv q-1$, ie

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{n}\left(\zeta_{1}, 0,0, \ldots\right)=q^{1-n} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\left(\frac{r^{k}+(-1)^{k} r}{q}\right) \tau^{k} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The order parameters of interest are the particle densities $\left\langle n_{k}\right\rangle$. The $\left\langle n_{k}\right\rangle$ are not independent and it is sufficient to consider only $\left\langle n_{1}\right\rangle$ (Kim and Joseph 1974b). Hence we define a generalized 'magnetization':

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \equiv r^{-1}\left(q\left\langle n_{1}\right\rangle-1\right)=r^{-1}\left[q \partial(-\beta f) / \partial \zeta_{1}-1\right] \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that as $\zeta_{1} \rightarrow 0^{+}, \zeta_{2}=\zeta_{3}=\ldots=0$, the spontaneous ordering varies from zero at high temperatures to 1 at $T=0$. The magnetization series for each $q$ hence takes the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\tau \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{2 n} a_{n, \kappa^{k}}=\phi_{1}(v) \tau+\phi_{2}(v) \tau^{2}+\ldots \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have changed to a new high-temperature variable,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \equiv \frac{\exp (K)-1}{\exp (K)+r}=\frac{u}{u+q} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to investigate the phase boundary, it is necessary to invert the series equation (11) to the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=m \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v^{n} \psi_{n}(m) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{n}(m)=\sum_{k=0}^{2 n} b_{n, k} m^{k} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have confirmed that our results reduce to those of Gaunt and Baker (1970) for the $q=2$ Ising case. Since the coefficients in either equation (11) or equation (14) are obtained for each $q$ and for each lattice from a free energy result of the form equation (7), we present here only those series used in § 3 for the two-dimensional lattices (appendixes A. 1 and A.2), and (appendix A.3) the $\psi_{n}(m)$ polynomial for the $q=3$ FCC lattice used in $\S 4$. All other series are available on request.

## 3. Analysis of series in two dimensions

As mentioned in the introduction, Baxter (1973) has rigorously shown that the Potts model in zero field undergoes a continuous transition for $q=3$ and 4 on the square lattice in the sense that $\partial f / \partial T$ is continuous at the transition temperature. We shall assume that the order of the transition does not depend on which density (first derivative of the free energy with respect to a field) we are looking at ; that is, we will assume that the continuity or discontinuity of $\partial f / \partial T$ is the same as that of $\partial f / \partial \zeta_{1}$. This is a reasonable assumption except for an accidental choice of the direction of the fields. Also, we shall assume that the nature of the transition is insensitive to the lattice structure in a given dimension, so that the spontaneous magnetization is also continuous for the triangular lattice for $q \leqslant 4$ and discontinuous for $q>4$.

Because of the basic symmetry with respect to the fields (Kim and Joseph 1974b), a continuous transition in zero fields implies that the transition points must be critical points of higher order in the sense of Chang et al (1973). For $q=3$ three lines of critical points meet at the transition; hence it is the tricritical point (Straley and Fisher 1973). For $q=4$, by the same reasoning as in Straley and Fisher (1973), the transition point is a critical point of order four. For the $q=2$ Ising case the free energy is an even function of the magnetic field. Hence the high-temperature gap exponents $\Delta_{l}$ are defined only for $l$ even. But for the Potts model $(q \geqslant 3)$ there is no longer such a symmetry and we can accordingly define a 'first gap exponent', $\Delta_{1} \equiv \gamma_{3}-\gamma$, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{2} m / \partial \zeta_{1}^{2} \equiv \chi_{3} \sim\left(v_{0}-v\right)^{-\gamma-\Delta_{1}} \sim\left(v_{0}-v\right)^{-\gamma_{3}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

associated with the critical point of higher order.
In terms of the $\phi_{i}(v)$ polynomials of equation (11) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=\partial m / \partial \zeta_{1}=q^{-1}(1-\tau)(1+r \tau) \partial m /\left.\partial \tau\right|_{\mathrm{t}=0}=\phi_{1} / q \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{3}=q^{-2}\left[(q-2) \phi_{1}+2 \phi_{2}\right] . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The series for $\chi$ and $\chi_{3}$ derived in this way are tabulated in appendixes A. 1 and A. 2 respectively for the $T R$ and $\operatorname{sQ}$ lattices together with those for higher $q$ 's which are also used in this section.

These series were studied by standard methods of series analysis. For $q=3$ and 4 the agreement of the poles of the Padé approximants (PA) to $\mathrm{d}(\ln \chi) / \mathrm{d} v(D \lg \chi)$ and $\mathrm{d}\left(\ln \chi_{3}\right) / \mathrm{d} v\left(D \lg \chi_{3}\right)$ with the exactly known transition temperatures were good enough to support the power law behaviour assumption. $\gamma$ and $\gamma_{3}$ were estimated by constructing Padé approximants to $\left(v_{0}-v\right) \mathrm{d}(\ln \chi) / \mathrm{d} v$ and $\left(v_{0}-v\right) \mathrm{d}\left(\ln \chi_{3}\right) / \mathrm{d} v$ respectively for both the SQ and TR lattices. The estimated exponents are

$$
\begin{aligned}
q=3: \gamma & =1.42 \pm 0.05 \\
\gamma_{3} & =3.00 \pm 0.1
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
q=4: \gamma & =1.20 \pm 0.05 \\
\gamma_{3} & =2.62 \pm 0.1
\end{aligned}
$$

for both lattices. The confidence limits are determined by ratio methods and are considered to be conservative.

For $q>4$ we have first-order transitions. In the mean field approximation the first-order transition occurs mathematically by choosing the lowest energy solution to the equation of state. This results in a finite susceptibility at the transition. Therefore, the high- and low-temperature susceptibilities in the mFA diverge at a temperature far beyond the transition temperature. On the high-temperature side, in the MFA we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} \zeta_{1}}{\mathrm{~d} m}\right|_{m=0}=q-\left(\frac{z J}{k_{\mathrm{B}} T}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z J}{k_{\mathrm{B}} T} \leqslant \frac{2(q-1) \ln (q-1)}{q-2}, \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

ie $\chi \sim\left(T-T_{c}^{*}\right)^{-1}$, while the transition occurs at a temperature $T_{0}$ which is larger than $T_{c}^{*}$. For example, with $z=6, v_{0}=0.1456$ and $v_{\mathrm{c}}^{*}=0.2065$ for $q=5$ and $v_{0}=0.1374$ and $v_{\mathrm{c}}^{*}=0.2226$ for $q=6$, in terms of the variable $v$.

Whether or not this feature will persist in an 'exact' calculation is an interesting question which needs further study. If we assume that $\chi \sim\left(v_{\mathrm{c}}^{*}-v\right)^{-\lambda}$ etc we can estimate $v_{\mathrm{c}}^{*}$ by constructing Pade approximants to the logarithmic derivatives of the $\chi$ series. In table 1 we list the location of the physical poles for the six most significant approximants for $q=5,6$ for $\chi$ and also for the $\chi_{3}$ series for the square lattice. In table 2 we list those for the triangular lattice where we have also included the $[2, M]$ Pade approximants as well as results for the $q=7$ and $8 \chi$ series. The agreement of the poles and the exact transition temperatures $v_{0}$ are surprisingly good for all cases shown, even for higher $q$. The poles of $\chi$ seem to give slightly higher estimates for $v_{0}$. This may be due to the effect of the mean-field-like trend of the first few terms. The reasonable regularity of the distribution of the poles supports the power law assumption. Hence we can

Table 1. Physical poles of the PA to $D \lg \chi$ and $D \lg \chi_{3}$ for $q=5,6$ for the sQ lattice.

|  | $q=5\left(v_{0}=0.3090\right)$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Approximant | $q=6\left(v_{0}=0.2899\right)$ |  |  |  |
| $\chi$ |  |  | $\chi_{3}$ |  |
| $[5,2]$ | 0.3236 | 0.3051 |  | 0.3055 |
| $[4,3]$ | 0.3104 | 0.3090 | 0.2738 |  |
| $[3,4]$ | 0.3104 | 0.3059 | 0.2923 | 0.2916 |
| $[4,2]$ | 0.3396 | 0.3099 | no pole | 0.2870 |
| $[3,3]$ | 0.3102 | 0.3098 | 0.2921 | 0.2953 |
| $[3,2]$ | 0.2945 | 0.3088 | 0.2762 | 0.2885 |

Table 2. Physical poles of the PA to $D \lg \chi$ for $q=5,6,7,8$ and to $D \lg \chi_{3}$ for $q=5,6$ for the TR lattice. An asterisk denotes a smaller positive real pole with extremely small residue which is ignored.

| Approximant | $q=5\left(v_{0}=0.1808\right)$ |  | $q=6\left(v_{0}=0.1662\right)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\chi$ | $\chi_{3}$ | $\chi$ | $\chi_{3}$ |
| [5, 2] | 0.1910* | 0.1636 | 0.1725* | 0.1687* |
| $[4,3]$ | 0.1837* | 0.1806 | 0.1692* | 0.1688* |
| $[3,4]$ | 0.1820 | 0.1736 | 0.1679 | 0.1682* |
| [2, 5] | 0.1804 | 0.1802 | 0.1667 | 0.1656 |
| $[4,2]$ | 0.1821 | 0.1815 | $0 \cdot 1691$ | 0.1683 |
| $[3,3]$ | 0.1825 | 0.1813 | 0.1691 | 0.1678 |
| [2, 4] | 0.1824 | 0.1810 | $0 \cdot 1685$ | 0.1678 |
| [3, 2] | 0.1833 | 0.1837 | 0.1692 | 0.1684 |
| [2, 3] | 0.1899 | 0.1819 | 0.1674 | $0 \cdot 1681$ |
|  | $\underline{q}=7(v$ | $0.1545)$ | $q=8\left(v_{0}\right.$ | 0.1449) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| [5, 2] |  | 602* |  | 05* |
| $[4,3]$ |  |  |  |  |
| [3, 4] |  |  |  |  |
| [4, 2] |  |  |  |  |
| [3,3] |  |  |  |  |
| [3, 2] |  |  |  |  |

interpret these results as evidence supporting the conjecture that the singularities of both the $\chi$ and $\chi_{3}$ series are both exactly at $v_{0}$, even when the system undergoes a first-order transition. It then follows that the power law divergence of any thermodynamic quantities at $v_{0}$ by itself cannot be used as a criterion for the existence of a continuous transition, and that the metastable state which the MFA predicts cannot exist, at least through $v_{0}$.

## 4. Analysis of series in three dimensions

In this section we utilize high-temperature series of the type given by equation (13) to investigate the nature of the phase transition in three dimensions. The method we have adopted in our work is to look directly at the phase space. For this purpose we consider a subspace of the field space, $\zeta_{1} \geqslant 0$ and $\zeta_{i}=0(i \geqslant 2)$, and for simplicity consider $q=3$. For higher $q$ the following arguments are easily generalized.

Straley and Fisher (1973) have sketched out the field space phase diagram for both the case of a continuous and of a discontinuous transition in zero field (see their figures 2 and 9). In the former case, for $\tau>0, \zeta_{2}=\zeta_{3}=0$, there are no singularities in the free energy $f(\tau, v)$ for any $v$, so that a high-temperature expansion of the form given by equation (13) will be valid up to the $\tau=0^{+}$contour, which forms the phase boundary for $m \geqslant 0$. For the discontinuous case $\tau=0^{+}$forms only a part of the phase boundary (ie for $v>v_{0}$ in figure 1). The cross section of the first-order coexistence surface ( $w_{1}$ of


Figure 1. Schematic of relation of phase boundary to zero-field magnetization $v_{0}(m)$ for the case of a first-order transition in the Potts model. The point ( $m_{\mathrm{c}}, v_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) is a critical point and $m_{0}$ is the zero-field magnetization jump at the transition temperature $v_{0}$.

Straley and Fisher 1973) with the $\zeta_{2}=\zeta_{3}=0$ plane in the field space will, in the $m-v$ plane, give the rest of the phase boundary as shown in figure 1. In particular, at the point ( $m_{\mathrm{c}}, v_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) the 'susceptibility' $\partial^{2}(-\beta f) / \partial \zeta_{1}^{2}$ diverges strongly in the sense of Griffiths and Wheeler (1970), since the $\zeta_{1}$ (ie $\tau$ ) direction is the strong direction on the corresponding point in the field space. Therefore, if we consider the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \tau}{\mathrm{~d} m}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n}(m) v^{n} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for which only a finite number of terms are known, and if we approximate the solution to the equation $\mathrm{d} \tau / \mathrm{d} m=0$ for fixed $m$ by constructing appropriate PA to the series, we should then reasonably expect to see the effect of the critical point ( $m_{c}, v_{c}$ ) for some finite $m_{c}$ if there is a first-order transition.

Since there is some controversy as to whether the 'spinodal curve' on which the analytic continuation of $\mathrm{d} \tau / \mathrm{d} m$ vanishes really exists (Gaunt and Baker 1970 and references therein), $\mathrm{d} \tau / \mathrm{d} m$ might vanish only at the true critical point. However, any finite length series will obviously tend to give a more-or-less definite spinodal curve around the critical point. Accordingly, we denote by $v_{\mathrm{sp}}(m)$ this 'effective' spinodal curve which is estimated by the PA.

Furthermore, we can approximate the $\tau(v, m)=0$ locus, which we denote by $v_{0}(m)$, from the smallest positive real pole with positive residue of the PA to the logarithmic derivative of the $\tau$ series for fixed $m$. This method of determining the phase boundary was first successfully applied to the Ising and Heisenberg models by Baker et al (1970) and Gaunt and Baker (1970). Then for a continuous transition we should expect to obtain a monotonically increasing $v_{0}(m)$ as $m$ increases from zero if the series is long enough, while for a discontinuous transition, for $m<m_{0}$ where $\tau(v, m)=0$ is a straight line and within the two-phase region, we should obtain a curve which is basically the analytic continuation of $v_{0}(m)$ for $m>m_{0}$ since the zeros of the PA themselves are analytic functions of $m$ as long as we handle finite length series. In any case, as $m \rightarrow 0^{+}$, the $\mathrm{d} \tau / \mathrm{d} m$ and $\tau / m$ series become identical $\left(=\chi^{-1}\right)$ and $v_{0}(m=0)$ is the point where the zero-field susceptibility diverges. For a first-order transition, $v_{0}(0)$ may not necessarily be the transition temperature (as in the MFA). However, the numerical evidence discussed in $\S 3$ for the two-dimensional case suggests the possibility that $v_{0}(0)=v_{0}$.

We have concentrated most of our attention on the FCC lattice in the belief that FCC series are more rapidly converging than for the other cubic lattices, for a given length. First we consider the $q=3$ FCC lattice. The general trend of the $v_{0}(m)$ and $v_{\text {sp }}(m)$ curves, obtained by constructing PA to $D \lg \tau$ and $D \lg (\mathrm{~d} \tau / \mathrm{d} m)$ respectively for a given value of $m$, is that as $m$ increases from zero they decrease from the $m=0$ value, reaching a minimum in $v$ around $m \sim \frac{1}{3}$ and $m \sim 0.2$ for $\tau / m$ and $\mathrm{d} \tau / \mathrm{d} m$ respectively, and then start to increase again. There is extremely good convergence between different PA around their respective minimum. In figure 2 we sketch this 'trend' of the poles by taking the average of the values for the [3, 2], [4, 2], [3, 3], [5, 2], [4, 3] and [3, 4] PA.


Figure 2. Plot of $v_{0}(m)$ and $v_{\mathrm{sp}}(m)$ for $q=3$ on the $\operatorname{FCC}$ lattice. The error bars, shown for a few selected points, are determined from a ratio test of the inverse of the appropriate series.

A few anomalous poles which have an interfering real pole-zero pair in their neighbourhood are omitted when taking the average. This figure shows striking similarity with the mpa for a first-order transition. Now the question is whether these 'bumps' are really due to a first-order transition or whether they are just spurious numerical effects near the tricritical point due to the shortness of our series. If they are indeed due to a first-order transition, we would expect that the minimum of $v_{\mathrm{sp}}(m)(v \sim 0.0889$ at $m \sim 0.21$ ) which supposedly corresponds to the critical point ( $m_{\mathrm{c}}, v_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) in figure 1 , must clearly be lower than $v_{\text {sp }}(0)$. Unfortunately, the Pade entries for $m=0$ have too many anomalies to enable us to reliably estimate $v_{\text {sp }}(0)$.

Our analysis of the $\chi_{3}$ series for the two-dimensional lattices, however, suggests not only that the location of the singularity of $\chi_{3}$ is the same as that of $\chi$ but also that frequently the PA of $D \lg \chi_{3}$ give a better estimate for the known transition temperature than $\chi$ (see for example $q=5$ for the sQ lattice). This motivated us to consider the $\chi_{3}$ series together with $\chi$. Table 3 shows the poles and residues of the Pa to $D \lg \chi_{3}$ together with those for $\chi$. It is apparent that the results shown in this table enable us to make a more confident estimate of the location of the singularity than that of $\chi$ alone. Also shown in table 3 are the poles and residues of the pa to $D \lg (\mathrm{~d} \tau / \mathrm{d} m)$ at $m=0.21$. From this table one would conclude that $\mathrm{d} m / \mathrm{d} \tau(m=0.21)$ diverges at $v \sim(889 \pm 2) \times 10^{-4}$ with an exponent 1.15 while $\chi_{3}$, and hence also $\chi$, diverges at $v \sim(905 \pm 10) \times 10^{-4}$. These estimates have to be interpreted within the limitations that the series are not long enough to show convergence in the sequences for the [ $N, N \pm j$ ] approximants. For example, the sequence of poles (multiplied by $10^{5}$ ) of the [ $N, N-1$ ] approximants are for $N=1,2,3$ and $4,12500,9235,9004$ and 9036 respectively, for $\chi_{3}$ and 8063,9603 , 8879 and 8893 respectively, for $\mathrm{d} m / \mathrm{d} \tau(m=0.21)$. The difference between the estimated $v_{\mathrm{sp}}(0.21)$ and $v_{0}(0)$ is not large enough to conclude absolutely that $v_{\mathrm{sp}}(0.21)<v_{0}(0)$. However, we feel that this is indeed the case in view of the excellent convergence between different approximants and hence favour the transition being of first order. Assuming that the transition is of first order, the bump in $v_{0}(m)$ can be understood as resulting from the analyticity of the poles as a function of $m$, since by enforcing the analyticity

Table 3. Physical poles (multiplied by $10^{5}$ ) and residues of PA to the logarithmic derivative of $\chi^{-1}, \chi_{3}^{-1}$ and $\mathrm{d} \tau / \mathrm{d} m(m=0.21)$ series for $q=3$ for the FCC lattice. *: see table 2 for explanation $; \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ : these approximants have a smaller real pole at $8311,7722,8585$, with a residue $0.10,0.07,0.26$ for $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ respectively, immediately followed by a real zero. These pole-zero pairs are not close enough to each other to ignore completely as for the other starred entries. However, they have too small a residue to be considered as physical. Hence we disregard these three entries from further consideration.

| PA | $\begin{aligned} & \chi^{-1} \\ & \text { Pole } \end{aligned}$ | $\chi_{3}^{-1}$ |  | Residue | $\mathrm{d} \tau / \mathrm{dm}(m=0.21)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Residue | Pole |  | Pole | Residue |
| $[5,2]$ | 9154* | 0.95* | 9043 | 2.41 | 8891 | $1 \cdot 15$ |
| $[4,3]$ | 9057 | 0.98 | 9036 | $2 \cdot 39$ | 8893 | $1 \cdot 15$ |
| $[3,4]$ | 9212* | 0.89*a | 9175* | 2.45** | 8892 | $1 \cdot 15$ |
| [2, 5] | 9329* | 0.74** | 9023 | 2.37 | 8892 | $1 \cdot 15$ |
| [4, 2] | 9018 | 0.95 | 9061 | 2.44 | 8888 | $1 \cdot 14$ |
| [3, 3] | 9016 | 0.95 | 9054 | 2.43 | 8891 | $1 \cdot 15$ |
| [2,4] | 9068* | 0.99* | 9064 | $2 \cdot 45$ | 8898 | 1.15 |
| $[3,2]$ | 9037 | 0.97 | 9004 | 2.33 | 8879 | $1 \cdot 14$ |
| [2, 3] | 9052 | 0.98 | 9078 | $2 \cdot 47$ | 8903 | $1 \cdot 15$ |

the straight line portion of the $\tau=0$ curve will turn out to be more or less mean-field-like as long as we have finite length series.

For $q=4$ and 5 on the FCC we have results qualitatively similar to that in the $q=3$ case. The minimum of $v_{\mathrm{sp}}(m)\left[v_{0}(m)\right]$ occurred approximately at $m \sim 0.3[0.5]$ and $0.35[0.6]$ for $q=4$ and 5 respectively, and the poles of the PA to the $D \lg \chi$ and $D \lg \chi_{3}$ which are to be compared with those of $\mathrm{d} m / \mathrm{d} \tau$ at $m=0.3[0.35]$ for $q=4[5]$ are listed in table 4 for the six most significant PA. From this we might take $v_{0}=(815 \pm 10) \times 10^{-4}$ and $v_{\mathrm{sp}}(0.3)=(776 \pm 10) \times 10^{-4}$ for $q=4$ and $v_{0}=(755 \pm 10) \times 10^{-4}$ and $v_{\text {sp }}(0.35)=(682 \pm 10) \times 10^{-4}$ for $q=5$. Hence we have a much better situation here than for the $q=3$ case and we can more clearly see that $v_{0}$ is higher than the spinodal point. Since the MFA is supposedly more meaningful for higher dimensions and higher $q$ (Mittag and Stephen 1974) and since $q=4$ is the dividing point between the secondand first-order transitions in two dimensions, one would expect that the dividing point in three dimensions should be between 2 and 4 and the above analysis suggests that the transition is of first order, at least for $q \geqslant 3$.

Table 4. Physical poles (multiplied by $10^{5}$ ) of PA to $D \lg \chi, D \lg \chi_{3}$ and $\mathrm{d} \tau / \mathrm{d} m$ at $m=0.3$ $(0.35)$ for $q=4(5)$ for the FCC lattice. The residues of the poles for $\chi^{-1}$ are given in parentheses.

|  | $q=4$ FCC |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $\chi$ | $\chi_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~d} \tau / \mathrm{d} m(m=0.3)$ |
| $[5,2]$ | 8175 | $(0.75)$ | 8130 | 7759 |
| $[4,3]$ | 8171 | $(0.74)$ | 8113 | 7759 |
| $[3,4]$ | 8173 | $(0.75)$ | 8115 | 7757 |
| $[4,2]$ | 8194 | $(0.77)$ | 8191 | 7757 |
| $[3,3]$ | 8187 | $(0.76)$ | 8145 | 7768 |
| $[3,2]$ | 8230 | $(0.79)$ | 8259 | 7715 |


|  | $q=5$ FCC |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PA |  | $\chi$ | $\chi_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~d} \tau / \mathrm{d} m(m=0.35)$ |
| $[5,2]$ | 7564 | $(0.64)$ | 7536 | 6819 |
| $[4,3]$ | 7563 | $(0.64)$ | 7536 | 6820 |
| $[3,4]$ | 7563 | $(0.64)$ | 7543 | 6803 |
| $[4,2]$ | 7572 | $(0.64)$ | 7542 | 6828 |
| $[3,3]$ | 7565 | $(0.64)$ | 7425 | 6857 |
| $[3,2]$ | 7613 | $(0.67)$ | 7642 | 6738 |

The sc and BCC series were also investigated in the same way, for $q=3$ and 4 . Although the convergence between different PA was appreciably degraded compared to the FCC case, all the general features of the FCC lattice were found. In particular, the values of $m$ where the minimum of $v_{\text {sp }}(m)$ and $v_{0}(m)$ occurred were approximately the same as for the FCC lattice for both lattices for given $q$. The locations of $v_{\text {sp }}(0)=v_{0}$ and $v_{\mathrm{sp}}\left(m_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ were estimated to be:
$\begin{array}{llll}v_{0}=(200 \pm 2) \times 10^{-3}, & v_{\mathrm{sp}}(0.2)=(196 \pm 2) \times 10^{-3} & \text { for } q=3 & \text { sC } \\ v_{0}=(1415 \pm 10) \times 10^{-4}, & v_{\mathrm{sp}}(0.2)=(139 \pm 1) \times 10^{-3} & \text { for } q=3 & \text { BCC }\end{array}$

| $v_{0}=(186 \pm 3) \times 10^{-3}$, | $v_{\text {sp }}(0.3)=(176 \pm 5) \times 10^{-3}$ | for $q=4$ | SC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $v_{0}=(1305 \pm 15) \times 10^{-4}$, | $v_{\text {sp }}(0.3)=(123 \pm 5) \times 10^{-3}$ | for $q=4$ | BCC; |

hence $v_{0}>v_{\text {sp }}\left(m_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ for all cases. A similar analysis was attempted for both the plane square and plane triangular lattices where the answer is known. Unfortunately, due to severe numerical uncertainties, no conclusion as to the relative positions of $v_{0}$ and $v_{\mathrm{sp}}\left(m_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ could be drawn.

From the residues for the $\chi$ series listed in tables 3 and 4 and from the evidence shown for the two-dimensional lattices ( $\S 3$ ), the asymptotic behaviour of $\chi$ near the transition may be described as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi \sim\left(v_{0}-v\right)^{-\gamma(q)} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma(3)=0.95 \pm 0.1 \\
& \gamma(4)=0.75 \pm 0.1  \tag{22}\\
& \gamma(5)=0.64 \pm 0.1
\end{align*}
$$

Also, from table 3 we can estimate $\gamma_{3}$ for $q=3$ to be $2 \cdot 4 \pm 0 \cdot 1$. These exponents estimated from an eight-term series for the FCC lattice are to be compared with the results $\gamma(3)=0.9 \pm 0.1$ and $\gamma_{3}(3)=2.1 \pm 0.2$ estimated by Straley (1974) from his nine-term series for the sc lattice.

## 5. Discussion

For $q=3$ and in two dimensions, the tricritical point discussed here is not the kind usually studied. Hence it poses an interesting problem for study by means of the scaling hypothesis. In fact Straley (1974) has proposed a form for the singular part of the free energy which predicts that $\alpha=\alpha^{\prime}=2+3 \gamma-2 \gamma_{3}$ and that $\gamma=\gamma_{\perp}^{\prime}$ (for the definition of $\gamma_{\perp}^{\prime}$ see Straley and Fisher 1973). It was found by these authors that $\gamma^{\prime}=1.5 \pm 0.2$, $\gamma_{\perp}^{\prime}=1.1 \pm 0.1, \alpha=\alpha^{\prime}=0.05 \pm 0.10$ and $\beta=0.10 \pm 0.01$. On the other hand Zwanzig and Ramshaw (1973 preprint), on re-analysing the free energy series of Kihara et al (1954) by a new method, estimated that $\alpha=\alpha^{\prime}=0.286 \pm 0.02$. Using the values $\gamma=1.42$ and $\gamma_{3}=3.00$ found in $\S 3$ and the first relation of Straley, given above, we see that $\alpha=\alpha^{\prime}=0.26$ which is in agreement with the latter work. Furthermore it does not contradict the strict thermodynamic inequality $\alpha^{\prime}+2 \beta+\gamma^{\prime} \geqslant 2$ which Straley and Fisher, using their value of $\alpha$, found difficulty in satisfying. Moreover, $\gamma=\gamma_{\perp}^{\prime}$ cannot be accepted as true, based on the available data. Hence we feel that more investigation regarding this point is necessary.

Evidence presented above for the divergence of both $\chi$ and $\chi_{3}$ at the first-order transition point suggests that it is possible that other thermodynamic quantities might behave in a similar manner to those near a critical point except for finite discontinuities in the first derivatives of the free energy; for example, $m \sim m_{0}+A\left|T-T_{0}\right|^{\beta}\left(m_{0}>0\right)$. This sort of situation is in fact actually observed in liquid crystals. Stinson and Litster (1970) observed a divergence of the magnetic birefringence and a divergence and critical slowing down of the fluctuations in the order parameter as the temperature is lowered to the first-order isotropic-to-nematic transition, while the metastable states could not be observed. Their data showed that the inverse of the fluctuation in the order, ie the
inverse susceptibility in our language, while obeying the MFA prediction $\chi^{-1}=A\left(T-T_{\mathrm{c}}^{*}\right)$ ( $T_{c}^{*}$ being $\sim 1 \mathrm{~K}$ higher than $T_{0}$, the transition temperature) accurately for temperatures several degrees higher than $T_{0}$, definitely deviates in a downward direction from the linear behaviour near $T_{0}$, suggesting the possibility of the vanishing of $\chi^{-1}$ at $T_{0}$, ie $\chi^{-1} \sim\left(T-T_{0}\right)^{\gamma}$ as $T \rightarrow T_{0}^{+}$with $\gamma<1$. This is in contrast to the usual para- to ferromagnetic transition where $\chi^{-1}$ deviates from a linear behaviour so as to give $\gamma>1$. It would be desirable to have more experimental data in the transition region, but if this is indeed the case the Potts model seems to predict these pre-transitional phenomena correctly for some appropriate value of $q$.
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## Appendix

A.1. Coefficients of $\chi=q^{-1}\left(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} v^{n}\right)$ series for SQ and TR lattices

The numbers in parentheses are the values of $q$.

|  | $\mathrm{SQ}(3)$ | $\mathrm{SQ}(4)$ | $\mathrm{SQ}(5)$ | $\mathrm{SQ}(6)$ | $\mathrm{TR}(3)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $a_{1}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 6 |
| $a_{2}$ | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 30 |
| $a_{3}$ | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 150 |
| $a_{4}$ | 112 | 124 | 136 | 148 | 726 |
| $a_{5}$ | 316 | 356 | 396 | 436 | 3456 |
| $a_{6}$ | 952 | 1164 | 1376 | 1588 | 16254 |
| $a_{7}$ | 2672 | 3492 | 4432 | 5492 | 75876 |
| $a_{\mathbf{8}}$ | 7812 | 10748 | 13980 | 17508 | 351852 |
|  | $\operatorname{TR}(4)$ | $\operatorname{TR}(5)$ | $\operatorname{TR}(6)$ | $\operatorname{TR}(7)$ | $\operatorname{TR}(8)$ |
| $a_{1}$ | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| $a_{2}$ | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| $a_{3}$ | 162 | 174 | 186 | 198 | 210 |
| $a_{4}$ | 846 | 966 | 1086 | 1206 | 1326 |
| $a_{5}$ | 4398 | 5412 | 6498 | 7656 | 8886 |
| $a_{6}$ | 22662 | 30042 | 38394 | 47718 | 58014 |
| $a_{7}$ | 116430 | 166956 | 228174 | 300804 | 385566 |
| $a_{8}$ | 596730 | 928056 | 1357710 | 1897572 | 2559522 |

A.2. Coefficients of $\chi_{3}=q^{-2}(q-2)\left(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} v^{n}\right)$ series for the SQ and TR lattices

The numbers in parentheses are the value of $q$.

|  | $\operatorname{sQ}(3)$ | $\operatorname{sQ}(4)$ | $\operatorname{sQ}(5)$ | $\operatorname{sQ}(6)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $b_{1}$ | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| $b_{2}$ | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 |
| $b_{3}$ | 348 | 348 | 348 | 348 |
| $b_{4}$ | 1452 | 1512 | 1572 | 1632 |


|  | $\mathrm{SQ}(3)$ | $\mathrm{SQ}(4)$ | $\mathrm{SQ}(5)$ | $\mathrm{SQ}(6)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $b_{5}$ | 5652 | 6060 | 6468 | 6876 |
| $b_{6}$ | 20772 | 23472 | 26172 | 28872 |
| $b_{7}$ | 73560 | 87036 | 101352 | 116508 |
| $b_{8}$ | 252588 | 316488 | 385284 | 458976 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{TR}(3)$ | $\mathrm{TR}(4)$ | $\mathrm{TR}(5)$ |
| $b_{1}$ | 18 | 18 | 18 | $\mathrm{TR}(6)$ |
| $b_{2}$ | 180 | 180 | 180 | 18 |
| $b_{3}$ | 1398 | 1446 | 1494 | 180 |
| $b_{4}$ | 9540 | 10404 | 11268 | 12132 |
| $b_{5}$ | 60120 | 69930 | 80100 | 90630 |
| $b_{6}$ | 358728 | 448668 | 546756 | 652992 |
| $b_{7}$ | 2056608 | 2783538 | 3625128 | 4585698 |
| $b_{8}$ | 11434878 | 16830612 | 23512806 | 31594860 |

A.3. Polynomials $\psi_{n}(m)$ for $q=3$ on the face-centred cubic lattice
(See equation (14))
$\psi_{0}=1$
$\psi_{1}=-12-12 m+24 m^{2}$
$\psi_{2}=12+102 m-246 m^{2}-492 m^{3}+624 m^{4}$
$\psi_{3}=-12-60 m+1128 m^{2}+5736 m^{3}-5784 m^{4}-16944 m^{5}+15936 m^{6}$
$\psi_{4}=24+870 m-4338 m^{2}-29817 m^{3}+27531 m^{4}+256746 m^{5}-113400 m^{6}-544464 m^{7}$
$+406848 m^{8}$
$\psi_{5}=-156+4164 m-1584 m^{2}+80256 m^{3}-98916 m^{4}-1906188 m^{5}-199656 m^{6}$
$+9711360 m^{7}-1198176 m^{8}-16790592 m^{9}+10399488 m^{10}$
$\psi_{6}=-1080+38490 m-91590 m^{2}-191568 m^{3}+564852 m^{4}+8839890 m^{5}+5747394 m^{6}$
$-87885756 m^{7}-54497352 m^{8}+330801456 m^{9}+33351360 m^{10}$
$-502440960 m^{11}+265764864 m^{12}$
$\psi_{7}=-13176+316476 m-624636 m^{2}+784944 m^{3}-549072 m^{4}-32620836 m^{5}$
$-30137292 m^{6}+532745208 m^{7}+787809984 m^{8}-3367464816 m^{9}$
$-3474596928 m^{10}+10506629568 m^{11}+2998609920 m^{12}$
$-14711920128 m^{13}+6791030784 m^{14}$
$\psi_{8}=-149958+2826756 m-6009762 m^{2}+3535149 m^{3}+5629503 m^{4}+78228720 m^{5}$
$+114601260 m^{6}-2487973371 m^{7}-5552550453 m^{8}+22931115888 m^{9}$
$+52070929212 m^{10}-111741997584 m^{11}-165637920240 m^{12}$
$+315776346336 m^{13}+144803133312 m^{14}-423918550272 m^{15}$
$+173558805504 m^{16}$.
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